

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

J.S.1, et al.,

Case No.: 1:20-cv-01557 DAD JLT

## Plaintiffs,

**ORDER TO THE PLAINTIFFS TO SHOW CAUSE  
WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED;  
ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE**

V.

**COUNTY OF KERN, et al.,**

#### Defendants.

16 This action was filed on November 2, 2020 (Doc. 1). The next day, the Court issued the  
17 summonses (Doc. 2) and the order setting the mandatory scheduling conference (Doc. 5). The Court's  
18 order setting the mandatory scheduling conference reads,

19 Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the  
20 Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the  
21 requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve the summons and  
complaint may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved  
defendants.

22 (Doc. 5) Nevertheless, no proofs of service have been filed and no one has sought to be appointed as  
23 the guardian ad litem.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the Court **ORDERS:**

24       1. Within 14 days the plaintiffs **SHALL** show cause in writing why the action should not  
25 be dismissed for their failure to comply with the orders of the Court, for their attempt to proceed in  
26 this action without a guardian ad litem and for their failure to prosecute this action.

<sup>28</sup> <sup>1</sup> The Court notes also that this action appears to mirror an action filed in 2015, by Savannah St. Clair and Andy St.Clair, Case No. 15-cv-01091, which was settled in June 2016 and dismissed in July 2016.

2. The scheduling conference is **CONTINUED** to March 24, 2020 at 8:30 a.m.

| IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 4, 2021**

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE